

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7                   **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8  
9 MARVIN D. RICHARD,

10                  Petitioner,                                    2:18-cv-00181-KJD-NJK

11 vs.

12 JO GENTRY, *et al.*,

13                  Respondents.

14   **ORDER**  
15

16         This action is a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, by  
17 Marvin D. Richard, a Nevada prisoner. On January 31, 2018, Richard filed an application to proceed  
18 *in forma pauperis* (ECF No. 1), along with his habeas corpus petition (attached to application to  
19 proceed *in forma pauperis*, at ECF No. 1-1) and a motion for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 2).

20         The application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is incomplete; it does not include the proper  
21 certification from the institution where Richard is incarcerated. The Court will deny the application  
22 to proceed *in forma pauperis*, but will not require Richard to pay the filing fee until after counsel  
23 appears for him.

24         The information provided in the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is sufficient,  
25 however, to show that Richard cannot afford counsel. “Indigent state prisoners applying for habeas  
26 corpus relief are not entitled to appointed counsel unless the circumstances of a particular case

1 indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to prevent due process violations.” *Chaney v. Lewis*,  
2 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986) (citing *Kreiling v. Field*, 431 F.2d 638, 640 (9th Cir. 1970) (per  
3 curiam). The court may, however, appoint counsel at any stage of the proceedings “if the interests of  
4 justice so require.” See 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; see also Rule 8(c), Rules Governing § 2254 Cases;  
5 *Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196. In light of the severity of the crime involved in this case, Richard’s  
6 sentence, and the nature of the issues raised regarding Richard’s conviction and sentence, the Court  
7 finds that appointment of counsel is in the interests of justice.

8         The Court has reviewed Richard’s petition, pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing  
9 Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, and determines that it merits service upon  
10 respondents and a response by respondents. The Court will order the petition in this case served  
11 upon respondents, will direct respondents to appear, but will not require any further action on the  
12 part of respondents at this time.

13           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that petitioner’s Application to Proceed *In Forma*  
14 *Pauperis* (ECF No. 1) is **DENIED**.

15           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner’s Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF  
16 No. 2) is **GRANTED**. The Federal Public Defender for the District of Nevada (FPD) is appointed to  
17 represent petitioner. If the FPD is unable to represent the petitioner, due to a conflict of interest or  
18 other reason, then alternate counsel will be appointed. In either case, counsel will represent the  
19 petitioner in all federal-court proceedings relating to this matter, unless allowed to withdraw.

20           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall electronically serve upon the  
21 FPD a copy of this order, together with a copy of the petitioner’s petition for writ of habeas corpus  
22 (ECF No. 1-1).

23           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the FPD shall have **30 days** from the date of entry of this  
24 order to file a notice of appearance, or to indicate to the Court its inability to represent the petitioner  
25 in this case.

26

1           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the time for petitioner to pay the filing fee for this action,  
2 or file a new application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is extended. The Court will set a new  
3 deadline for payment of the filing fee after counsel appear for the petitioner and the respondents.

4           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall add Adam Paul Laxalt,  
5 Attorney General of the State of Nevada, as counsel for respondents.

6           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of the Court shall electronically serve upon  
7 respondents a copy of the petition for writ of habeas corpus (ECF No. 1-1), and a copy of this order.

8           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that respondents shall have **30 days** from the date on which  
9 the petition is served upon them to appear in this action. Respondents will not be required to  
10 respond to the habeas petition at this time.

11           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Court will establish a schedule for further  
12 proceedings after counsel appear for the petitioner and the respondents.

13  
14           Dated this 9 day of February, 2018.

15  
16           

17           

---

18           UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26